UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

05 11114 MLW

TOWN OF IPSWICH,	MAGISTRATE JUDGE VEX 40	dis
Plaintiff,	,	111/00
v.	CIVIL ACTION NO.	AMOUNT \$600 SUMMONS ISSUED VIA LOCAL RULE 4.1
NUFIC FIRE INSURANCE COM	PANY OF	WAIVER FORM
PITTSBURGH, PA.,		MCF ISSUED

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, the defendant National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. ("NUFIC") hereby removes the above-captioned action to this Court from the Essex Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In support of this Defendant's Notice Of Removal, NUFIC states as follows:

A. Factual and Procedural Background

Defendant.

- 1. NUFIC is a defendant in a civil action filed in, and presently pending before, the Essex Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, styled as <u>Town of Ipswich v. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh</u>, Pa., Civil Action No. 05-0357 (the "State Court Action").
- 2. In the Complaint which it filed in the State Court Action, the plaintiff Town of Ipswich ("Ipswich") alleges that NUFIC breached the performance bond which NUFIC issued on behalf of Westcott Construction Corporation ("Westcott") regarding the contract which Westcott had with Ipswich to construct the Ipswich High School/Middle School and that, as a result,

NUFIC is liable to Ipswich for breach of contract (Count I), and for money had and received (Count II).

- 3. Ipswich filed the State Court Action on March 3, 2005. Ipswich did not proceed immediately to serve NUFIC with process. Rather, Ipswich waited until May, 2005 to ask NUFIC's counsel, John P. Connelly, if he would accept service for NUFIC, which Mr. Connelly did. A true and accurate copy of the Summons to NUFIC contains Mr. Connelly's signature acknowledging his acceptance of same as service on NUFIC on May 23, 2005 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 4. This Defendant's Notice Of Removal is being filed with this Court within thirty (30) days after NUFIC was served with a copy of the Summons and Complaint setting forth Ipswich's claims. Therefore, this Defendant's Notice Of Removal is timely. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 5. As required by 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), no citizen of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts has been joined and served as a defendant.

B. Diversity Jurisdiction of this Court

- 6. Ipswich is a Massachusetts municipal corporation with a main office located at Municipal Building, 25 Green Street, Ipswich, Massachusetts. (Complaint, ¶ 2).
- 7. NUFIC is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business in New York, New York. It is therefore a citizen of the state of Pennsylvania. (Complaint, ¶ 3).
 - 8. Each plaintiff is therefore a citizen of a different state than each defendant.
- 9. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Massachusetts law prohibits a plaintiff from specifying in its complaint the amount of monetary damages it is seeking, unless such damages are "liquidated or ascertainable by calculation." M.G.L. c.231, § 13B (2005). Accordingly, the Complaint does not specifically state the amount of damages Ipswich is seeking

in this lawsuit. However, in a detailed claim letter which Ipswich sent to NUFIC in August, 2004, Ipswich alleged that its damages totaled over \$1.3 million. Therefore, the damages sought by Ipswich put the amount in controversy in this lawsuit in excess of the jurisdictional minimum.

10. This Court, therefore, has original jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, in that the State Court Action presents a case where the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000 and is between citizens of different states.

C. Removal

- 11. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1367, 1441 and 1446, removal of the State Court Action is appropriate. Under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1441, NUFIC's right of removal applies to the entire lawsuit.
- 12. Venue of this removed action is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) as the district and division embracing the place where the State Court Action is pending.
- 13. Copies of all process, pleadings and orders received by NUFIC in the State Court Action to date are being filed as Exhibit A to this Defendant's Notice Of Removal.
- 14. Written notice of the filing of this Defendant's Notice Of Removal is being served on Ipswich's counsel on this date.
- 15. NUFIC will promptly file a copy of this Defendant's Notice Of Removal with the Clerk of the Essex Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 16. NUFIC reserves all defenses to Ipswich's claims and damages allegations.

 Moreover, NUFIC expressly preserves, and does not waive, any of the defenses available under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b), including, without limitation, lack of personal jurisdiction, improper/inconvenient venue and insufficiency of service of process.

WHEREFORE, NUFIC hereby removes this civil action to the United States District

Court for the District of Massachusetts.

Dated: May 27, 2005

DEFENDANT NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA. By its attorneys,

John P. Connelly, BBO #546670 PEABODY & ARNOLD LLP 30 Rowes Wharf Boston, MA 02110 (617) 951-2100

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, John P. Connelly, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Defendant's Notice Of Removal has been served by first class mail, postage pre-paid, upon the following counsel of record for the plaintiff on this 27th day of May, 2005:

Peter E. Ball, Esquire Kurt S. Kusiak, Esquire Sally & Fitch LLP 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110

John P. Connelly

614322_1 9505-90426

EXHIBIT A TO NOTICE OF REMOVAL

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

ESSEX, SS.	SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL ACTIONO.:
TOWN OF IPSWICH,	
Plaintiff,)
v.) COMPLAINT AND WINN TRACK DEMAND
NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE CO. OF PITTSBURGH, PA) <u>JURY TRIAL DEMAND</u>))
Defendant.))
)

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff Town of Ipswich ("Ipswich") brings this action against Defendant National Union Fire Insurance Co. of Pittsburgh, PA ("NUFIC") to recover damages resulting from breaches by NUFIC of a Performance Bond by which NUFIC agreed to insure the performance of the general contractor that Ipswich had engaged to construct a new Middle/High School for Ipswich.

PARTIES

 Plaintiff Town of Ipswich is a Massachusetts municipal corporation with a main office located at Municipal Building, 25 Green Street, Ipswich, Essex County, Massachusetts.

3. On information and belief, NUFIC is a Pennsylvania corporation with a principal place of business in New York, NY. Further on information and belief, it is authorized to issue surety bonds in Massachusetts.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 4. In or about May 1998, Ipswich, acting by and through its School Building Committee, entered into a contract (the "Construction Contract") with Westcott Construction Corporation ("Westcott") for the construction of a new Middle/High School for Ipswich (the "Project").
- 5. In connection with the Project, NUFIC, as surety, issued a performance bond (the "Performance Bond") with Westcott as the principal on the Bond and Ipswich as the obligee. The Performance Bond insured the performance of Westcott under the Construction Contract. The Performance Bond further obligated NUFIC, in the event that Westcott abandoned the Construction Contract, to take such action as necessary to complete the Construction Contract. (A copy of the Performance Bond is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 6. On information and belief, on or about November 17, 2000, Westcott advised NUFIC that Westcott was financially unable to complete the Project, and Westcott authorized NUFIC to take whatever action NUFIC deemed necessary to complete the Project.
- 7. As required by the Performance Bond, NUFIC took over the Construction Contract. In or about May, 2001, NUFIC engaged Charwill Construction, Inc. ("Charwill") to assume Westcott's duties. In effect, NUFIC, which was a bonding company now acting as general contractor, hired Charwill to perform the actual general contractor duties.

8. Prior to abandoning the Construction Contract, Westcott committed several breaches of the Construction Contract for which NUFIC, as suretor under the Performance Bond, is liable.

Document 1

- 9. Specifically, and without limitation, Westcott failed to timely complete Phase IA and Phase IB of the Project.
- 10. Under Phase IA, the Construction Contract obligated Westcott to complete certain Bleachers and a Stormwater Detention and Treatment System ("Stormwater System") by August 15, 1998.
- 11. In fact, the Bleachers were not substantially completed until approximately 65 days after August 15, 1998 and the Stormwater System was not substantially completed until approximately 236 days after August 15, 1998.
- 12. Pursuant to the Construction Contract, Westcott is obligated to pay liquidated delay damages of \$500 per day for each day beyond August 15, 1998 that Phase IA was not substantially completed.
- 13. As Westcott's suretor, NUFIC is obligated to pay such damages. Ipswich has made demand on NUFIC to pay such damages. NUFIC has thus far refused.
- 14. Under Phase IB of the Construction Contract, Westcott was obligated to complete an Entry Drive to the School by November 1, 1999.
- 15. In fact, the Entry Drive was not substantially completed until approximately 44 days after November 1, 1999.
- 16. Pursuant to the Construction Contract, Westcott is obligated to pay liquidated damages of \$500 per day for each day beyond November 1, 1999 that Phase IB was not substantially completed.

17. As Westcott's suretor, NUFIC is obligated to pay such damages. Ipswich has made demand on NUFIC to pay such damages. NUFIC has thus far refused.

Document 1

- 18. After NUFIC took over the Construction Contract, it committed additional breaches of the Contract.
- 19. Phase IIB of the Construction Contract obligated Westcott to complete certain sitework by August 31, 2000. Pursuant to a Change Order, this date was extended to June 15, 2001.
- 20. Nevertheless, NUFIC, having taken over for Westcott as general contractor, failed to substantially complete the sitework by June 15, 2001. Indeed, in approximately July, 2002, Charwill ceased to perform its general contractor duties.
- 21. For this and other breaches of the Construction Contract, on August 1, 2002, Ipswich terminated NUFIC as the general contractor. In its termination letter, Ipswich informed NUFIC that the termination was on account of NUFIC's (1) "[c]onsistent and constant failure to perform the Architect's directives..."; (2) "[f]ailure to follow the instructions of the Ipswich Conservation Commission, a public authority, on numerous occasions forcing [Ipswich] to hire an outside Contractor to finish the work; (3) [c]onsistent failure to supply properly skilled workmen to perform its obligations under the contract'; and (4) [o]ther substantial violations of the Contract Documents."
- 22. As a result of NUFIC's breaches leading to its termination, Ipswich had to complete the Project, itself, at its own expense. Ipswich was not able to substantially complete the Phase IIB Sitework until approximately 658 days after the extended completion date of June 15, 2001.

- 23. The Construction Contract, as amended via the Change Order, calls for liquidated delay damages of \$500 per day for each day beyond June 15, 2001 that Phase IIB was not substantially completed. Pursuant to the Performance Bond, NUFIC is obligated to pay those damages.
- 24. Ipswich has made demand on NUFIC to pay such damages. NUFIC has thus far refused.
- 25. Phase IIC of the Construction Contract obligated the General Contractor to perform certain sitework maintenance functions for nine-and-a-half months after completion of the Phase IIB sitework.
- The Construction Contract further obligated the General Contractor to complete the Phase IIC sitework maintenance functions by June 15, 2001.
- 27. In fact, NUFIC, never performed any Phase IIC sitework maintenance functions. (NUFIC had been terminated by Ipswich prior to the completion of Phase IIB.) Accordingly, Ipswich had to perform the Phase IIC maintenance work, itself, at its own expense. The nine-and-a-half months of Phase IIC maintenance work concluded approximately 817 days after the June 15, 2001 completion date set out in the Construction Contract.
- 28. The Construction Contract calls for liquidated damages of \$500 per day for each day beyond June 15, 2001 that Phase IIC was not substantially completed.
- 29. Pursuant to the Performance Bond, NUFIC is obligated to pay those damages. Ipswich has made demand on NUFIC to pay such damages. NUFIC has thus far refused.

- 30. In addition to the breaches of the Construction Contract that resulted from failures by NUFIC and its principal to timely complete certain work under the Construction Contract, NUFIC and/or its surety committed other breaches of the Construction Contract. These breaches included performing non-conforming construction and site work. This non-conforming work resulted in, among other things, unstable site conditions. Ipswich was injured by this non-conforming work as it had to pay more than \$45,000 to correct the work and accrued more than \$6,500 in fines levied by the Ipswich Conservation Commission. Ipswich has made demand on NUFIC to reimburse Ipswich for these expenses. NUFIC has thus far refused.
- 31. As a result of NUFIC's breaches of the Construction Contract, Ipswich was further injured in that it had to complete the Project, itself, at its own expense. This additional expense exceeded the total amount that Ipswich would have had to pay the general contractor under the Construction Contract. Ipswich has made demand on NUFIC to reimburse it for such additional expenditures. NUFIC has thus far refused.

COUNT ONE

(Breach of Contract)

- 32. Ipswich incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 31 above, as though fully restated herein.
 - 33. The Performance Bond is a valid and enforceable contract.
- NUFIC has breached the Performance Bond. These breaches include, 34. without limitation:
 - Failing to pay Ipswich the liquidated delay damages arising from Westcott's failure to timely complete Phases IA and IB of the **Construction Contract:**

- Failing to pay Ipswich the liquidated delay damages arising from Westcott's and NUFIC's own failure to timely complete Phases IIB and IIC of the Construction Contract;
- Failing to perform the Architect's directives after taking over the Construction Contract from Westcott;
- Failing to follow the instructions of the Ipswich Conservation Commission after taking over the Construction Contract from Westcott;
- Failing to supply properly skilled workmen to perform its obligations under the Construction Contract after taking over the Contract from Westcott; and
- Failing to pay Ipswich the damages resulting from Westcott's and NUFIC's own breaches of the Construction Contract other than the breaches of failing to timely complete various Phases of the Construction Contract.
- 35. As a result of NUFIC's breaches of the Performance Bond, Ipswich has been injured.
 - 36. Ipswich is entitled to receive an award of damages for its injuries.

COUNT TWO

(For Money Had and Received)

- 37. Ipswich incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 36 above, as though fully restated herein.
- 38. Ipswich has advanced certain moneys to Westcott and NUFIC under the Construction Contract. Westcott and NUFIC have breached the contract. On account of the breaches, Ipswich has been injured.
- 39. Ipswich is entitled to the return of some of the moneys advanced to Westcott and NUFIC under the Construction Contract.
- 40. Pursuant to the Performance Bond, NUFIC is responsible for any money that must be returned to Ipswich.

PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff Town of Ipswich seeks the following relief:

- a. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Ipswich on each count of the Complaint;
- b. That the Court determine the amount of damages to which Ipswich is entitled on each count of the Complaint and award Ipswich these amounts plus pre- and post-judgment interest;
- c. That the Court award Ipswich its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action; and
- d. That the Court award such other and further relief as it deems to be necessary and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Town of Ipswich hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

TOWN OF IPSWICH

By its attorneys,

Peter E. Ball, BBO #546031

Kurt S. Kusiak, BBO #559254

SALLY & FITCH LLP

225 Franklin Street

Boston, MA 02110

(617) 542-5542

Dated: March 2, 2005

Case 1:05-cv-11114-MLW Documer	nt 1 Filed 05/27/2005	Page 14 of 22
--------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------

6381 000000 3/03/ 50IVIL FEE	246 - 86
63810000003/03/ 5SURCHARGE	35.88
6381 000003/03/ 5 SECC	
6381FAAAAAAAAA 55FMMANS	10.29

TPSWICH MIDDLE/HIGH SCHOOL

Bond No.: 17-44-84

DOCUMENT 00610 PERFORMANCE BOND

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that we, the undersigned WESTCOTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION Massachusetts a corporation organized under the laws of and having a usual place of business in North Attleboro in the County of Bristol and the State of Massachusetts as PRINCIPAL, and NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA County of_ a corporation organized under the laws of Pennsylvania and having a usual place of business of 70 Pine Street, New York, NY in the County of New York , as SURETY, are firmly held and bound unto the Town of Ipswich as OBLIGEE, in the SUM OF TWENTY-FOUR MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED SEVENTY-SEVEN THOUSAND AND 00/100lawful money of the United States of DOLLARS (\$24,877,000.00 America, for payment of which well and truly to be made, we hereby, jointly and severally, bind ourselves and our respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns, firmly by these presents.

WHEREAS, the Principal has entered into a certain written contract with the Obligee, dated May 15, 199% for construction of the Ipswich Middle/High, School, Ipswich, MA, which Contract in its entirety, including the General Conditions, Supplementary Conditions, Drawings, Specifications, and any Addenda is hereby referred to and made a part hereof as fully and to the same extent as if copied at length herein.

NOW THEREFORE, the condition of this obligation is such that if the Principal shall well and truly keep and perform all the undertakings, covenants, agreements, terms and conditions of said contract and any extensions thereof that may be granted by the Obligee, with or without notice to the Surety, and during the life of any guarantee required under the contract, and shall also well and truly keep and perform all the undertakings, covenants, agreements, terms and conditions of any and all duly authorized modifications, alterations, changes or additions to said contract that may hereafter be made, notice to the Surety of such modifications, alterations, changes or additions being hereby waived, then this obligation shall become null and void, otherwise it shall remain in full force and effect.

In the event that the contract is abandoned by the Principal, or in the event that the Obligee, under the provisions of Article 14 of the General Conditions of said Contract, as amended by the Supplementary Conditions, terminates the Contract, said Surety hereby further agrees that said Surety shall, if required in writing by the Obligee, take such action as is necessary to complete said Contract.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Principal and the Surety have hereunto set their hands and seals, and such of them as are corporations have

PERFORMANCE BOND 00610-1

EXHIBIT A

IPSWICH MIDDLE/HIGH SCHOOL	
caused their corporate seals to be here to be signed by their proper officers of	
15 4 day of 77 ay	
ATTEST	· !
WESTCOTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION (Principal) By Mulli Ciarea	
By Zamunaa.	John M. Ciardi, Jr., President (Title) SEAL
NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA	
By: Mulu was	Michael J. Cusack
	(Attorney in Fact) SEAL

END OF DOCUMENT

PERFORMANCE BOND 00610-2

CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET	Superior Court Department County: Essex
PLAINTIFF(S)	DEFENDANT(S)
Town of Ipswich	National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, PA
ATTORNEY FIRM NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE Peter E. Ball, Esq. BB0#546031	ATTORNEY (if known)
Kurt S. Kusiak, Esq. BBO#559254	John P. Connelly, Esq. Peabody & Arnold LLP
Kurt S. Kusiak, Esq. BBO#559254 Sally & Fitch LLP, 225 Franklin Street, Board of Bar Overseers number: Boston, MA - 617-542-5542	30 Rowes Wharf, Boston, MA 02110
	track designation
Place an x in one box only:	4. F04 District Court Appeal c.231, s. 97 &104 (After
1. F01 Original Complaint	trial) (X)
2. F02 Removal to Sup.Ct. C.231,s.104	5. F05 Reactivated after rescript; relief from
(Before trial) (F) 3. F03 Retransfer to Sup.Ct. C.231,s.102C (X)	judgment/Order (Mass.R.Civ.P. 60) (X) 6. E10 Summary Process Appeal (X)
CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTION (specify) TRACK	DESIGNATION (See reverse side) IS THIS A JURY CASE?
Al2 Contract Construction/ (A)	(x)Yes ()No
The following is a full, itemized and detailed statement money damages. For this form, disregard double or t	nt of the facts on which plaintiff relies to determine
TORT O	
(Attach additional sh	
A. Documented medical expenses to date:	colo do nocessary,
	\$
	·····•••
	······••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	\$
5. Total other expenses (describe)	Subtotal \$
B. Documented lost wages and compensation to date	\$
C. Documented property damages to date	\$
	es
	\$4.,,
F. Other documented items of damages (describe)	•
G. Brief description of plaintiff's injury, including nature and ext	ent of injury (describe)
	Compath Sourchast House \$
CONTRAC	T CI AIM
CONTRAC (Attach additional sh	
Provide a detailed description of claim(s):	Sold up 11000000177
Defendant breached terms of a performance bond t	hat it provided in
connection with the construction by plaintiff of	a new Middle/High School.
	In excess of TOTAL \$.1,000,000
PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMBER, NAME AND COUNTY COURT DEPARTMENT There are no related actions, is currently pending in Suffolk Superior Court,	ANY RELATED ACTION PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR but there is a case involving both parties that N.B. Kenney Co., Inc. v. Nat'l. Union Fire Ins.
"I hereby certify that I have complied with the requirements Dispute Resolution (SJC Rule 1:18) requiring that I provide resolution services and discuss with them the advantages a	of Rule 5 of the Supreme Judicial Court Uniform Rules on my clients with information about court-connected dispute
Signature of Attorney of Record	

Allowed	
ω	
W	
313105/	
Your	•

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

ESSEX, SS.	SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL ACTION NO.:			
TOWN OF IPSWICH,				
Plaintiff,	,			
v.) PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE) MOTION FOR) APPOINTMENT OF			
NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE CO. OF PITTSBURGH, PA) SPECIAL PROCESS) SERVER			
Defendant.)			
))			

The above named Plaintiff hereby moves that Suvalle Jodrey & Associates, of One Devonshire Place, Boston, Massachusetts, and its agents, servants and employees, all of whom are over the age of 18 years and disinterested in this action, be specially appointed pursuant to Mass. R. Civ. P. 4(c) for all purposes for service of process in this action.

TOWN OF IPSWICH

By its attorneys,

Peter E. Ball, BBO #546031

Kurt S. Kusiak, BBO #559254

SALLY & FITCH LLP

225 Franklin Street

Boston, MA 02110

(617) 542-5542

Dated: March 2, 2005

02110 42

Case 1:05-cv-111 **Common realth of Massachusetts**5 Page 20 of 22 County of Essex The Superior Court

CIVIL DOCKET# ESCV2005-00357-A

RE: Town of Ipswich v National Union Fire Insurance Co of Pittsburgh PA

TO:Peter E Ball, Esquire
Hill & Barlow
1 International Place
100 Oliver Street
Boston, MA 02110-2607

TRACKING ORDER - A TRACK

You are hereby notified that this case is on the average (A) track as per Superior Court Standing Order 1-88. The order requires that the various stages of litigation described below must be completed not later than the deadlines indicated.

STAGES OF LITIGATION DEADLINE

Service of process made and return filed with the Court	06/01/2005
Response to the complaint filed (also see MRCP 12)	07/31/2005
All motions under MRCP 12, 19, and 20 filed	07/31/2005
All motions under MRCP 15 filed	05/27/2006
All discovery requests and depositions completed	04/22/2007
All motions under MRCP 56 served and heard	06/21/2007
Final pre-trial conference held and firm trial date set	10/19/2007
Case disposed	03/02/2008

The final pre-trial deadline is <u>not the scheduled date of the conference</u>. You will be notified of that date at a later time.

Counsel for plaintiff must serve this tracking order on defendant before the deadline for filing return of service.

This case is assigned to session A sitting in CtRm 2 -rear (Salem), Essex Superior Court.

Dated: 03/03/2005 Thomas H. Driscoll Jr.

Clerk of the Courts

Location: CtRm 2 -rear (Salem)

Telephone: (978) 744-5500 ext. 414

BY: Judith Brennan
Assistant Clerk

Disabled individuals who need handicap accommodations should contact the Administrative Office of the Superior Court at (617) 788-8130

(TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: Please Circle Type of Action Involved: - TORT - MOTOR VEHICLE TORT CONTRACT - EQUITABLE RELIEF - OTHER.)

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

ESSEX, ss.		SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION
		No.
	Town of Ipswich	, Plaintiff(s)
	<i>v.</i>	· · ·
	National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, I	PA Defendant(s)

SUMMONS

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Peter Ball, Esq., and/or Kurt Kusiak, Esq. plaintiff's attorney, whose address is Sally & Fitch LLP, 225 Franklin St., Boston, MA 02110 an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13 (a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

> WITNESS, SUZANNE V. DelVECCHIO, Esquire, at Salem, the day of , in the year of our Lord two thousand

> > Thomas H. Discoll

- 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
Town of Ip	pswich	National U	Union Fire In	surance Company
	7	of Pittsbu		New York
(b) County of Residence of		County of Residence of	——————————————————————————————————————	New York
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	,
			CONDEMNATION CASES, US IVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known) John P. Co	1 1	
Péter E. Ball		John P. Co P Peabody &	nnelly Arnold, LLP	
Kurt S. Kusic 225 Franklin	St, Sally & Fitch, LL St, Boston, MA 02110	30 Rowes W	harf, Boston	, MA 02110
I. BASIS OF JURISDI		III. CITIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)		
U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question	PX	F DEF 1 D 1 Incorporated or Pri	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	 I Incorporated or Pri of Business In This 	
2 U.S. Government	X 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2	rincipal Place 🗇 5 🛣 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	
	(,	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
V. NATURE OF SUIT				
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
D 110 insurance D 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJU 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury	1 _ 3	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane 302 Personal Injury 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic		28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 🗍 365 Personal Injury		•	450 Commerce
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander	nal G640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	650 Armine Regs.	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE			490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability		SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damag	1	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Dama		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liabilit		☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	10 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITI 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vac		FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vac ☐ 442 Employment Sentence	790 Other Labor Lingation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	,	26 USC 7609	Act
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty	~		900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Employment 550 Civil Rights	Other		Under Equal Access to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Conditi	on		☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	_ Other			State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights			
	an "X" in One Box Only)	_ Transi	Command from	Appeal to District Judge from
	temoved from 3 Remanded from	Reinstated or J anothe	Terred from	rict ' Magistrate
Proceeding S	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened (speci		Judgment
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you Diversity action	under 28 U.S.C.	statutes unless diversity): §1332. Plain	tiff is a MA
I. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of cause:	under zo u s u		LIIIS A MA
	Brief description of cause: III.III.C.L.Da.L.C.Orpora In New York for CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION	tion suing defen	dant insuran	ce company loca [.]
II. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTI	ON DEMANDS	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	over \$1 mil	lion JURY DEMAND	: X Yes □ No
		- ·		
III. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF RECORD		
\$127105		The state of the s		
OR OFFICE USE ONLY		<u> </u>		
		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O		
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	Fire	Inst	rance Compa			<u>Ipswi</u> h, Pa.					
,	Categor	y in which	the case belongs ba	sed upon the	numbered natu	re of suit co	ode listed or	n the civil	cover	sheet.	(See local
	rule 40.1	(a)(1)).						· · · ·			
	_	I.	160, 410, 470, 535, F	R.23, REGARI	LESS OF NATU	RE OF SUIT	г.				
	_	H.	195, 196, 368, 400, 4 740, 790, 791, 820*,	,							O 121 pyright cases
	<u>X</u>	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 1 315, 320, 330, 340, 3 380, 385, 450, 891.								
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 8			20, 630, 640	, 650, 660,				
		٧.	150, 152, 153.								
	district	please inc	if any, of related cas licate the title and nu	mber of the f	rst filed case in	this court.		····			
•	nas a pi	ior actior	i between the same p	arties and ba	sed on the same	YE:		n this coi	X		
	Does th		nt in this case questi	on the consti	tutionality of an	act of cong	ress affectio	ng the pu	blic int	erest?	(See 28
			. or an officer, agent	or employee	of the U.S. a part	YE:	s 🗆	NO			
						YE	s 🗆	NO	ī		
	ls this c	ase requi	red to be heard and o	letermined by	a district court	of three jud	lges pursua	nt to title		§228	4?
						YE	s 🗆	NO	K		
			es in this action, exc governmental agenc				in the same				
						YE	s IXI	NO	ш		
		A.	If yes, in which divi	sion do <u>all</u> of ☑	the non-govern Central Div		ies reside?	West	ern Div	/ision	
		В.	If no, in which divis			intiffs or th	e only partie	es, exclud	ling go	vernm	ental
			Eastern Division		Central Div	vision 🗆	l	West	ern Di	vision	
			of Removal - are there e sheet identifying the		pending in the	state court	requiring th	e attentio	n of th	is Cou	rt? (If yes,
			. •	,		YE	s 🗆	NO	X		
	EARET	PE OR P	RINT)	1.1							
			John D. Car	M M A 1 TT							
T	TORNEY	'S NAME	John P. Cor Peabody &		TTD 20	D	IIIb a £	Doot		MΛ	02110